

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

KENNETH R. WRIGHT

Serial No. 09/732,194

Filed: DECEMBER 14, 2000


Title: MULTIBIT SPREAD SPECTRUM SIGNALLING

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Atty. Docket No.

PHB 34,433

Group Art Unit: 2634



2634
#6
04/14/03
P. DeLoach

RECEIVED

APR 14 2003

Technology Center 2600

CLAIM FOR PRIORITY

Sir:

A certified copy of the GREAT BRITAIN Application No. 9930004.8 filed DECEMBER 17, 1999 referred to in the Declaration of the above-identified application is attached herewith.

Applicant claims the benefit of the filing date of said GREAT BRITAIN application.

Respectfully submitted,

April 2, 2003
Enclosure

By Jack D. Slobod
Jack D. Slobod, Reg. 26,236
Attorney
(914) 333-9606

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

It is hereby certified that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

On April 8, 2003
By Natalie A. Marzocchi

C:\wp\priority.sl.doc

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



B - 34433 - US



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

CERTIFIED COPY OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

RECEIVED

APR 14 2003

Technology Center 2600

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.


Signed

W. Evans

Dated

28 January 2003

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)


The
Patent
Office

1/77

21DEC99 E500557-2 D03008
P01/7700 0.00-9930004.8

Request for grant of a patent

(See notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
Gwent NP9 1RH

| | | | | |
|----|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. | Your reference | PHB 34433 | | |
| 2. | Patent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part) | <div style="text-align: right;">17 DEC 1999</div> <div style="text-align: right; font-size: 1.2em;">9930004.8</div> | | |
| 3. | Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (<u>underline all surnames</u>) | KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. GROENEWOUDSEWEG 1 5621 BA EINDHOVEN THE NETHERLANDS | | |
| | Patents ADP Number (if you know it) | | | |
| | If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation | THE NETHERLANDS 741929400/ | | |
| 4. | Title of the invention | MULTIBIT SPREAD SPECTRUM SIGNALLING | | |
| 5. | Name of your agent (if you have one) | COLIN JAMES MOODY | | |
| | "Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode) | Philips Corporate Intellectual Property Cross Oak Lane Redhill Surrey RH1 5HA | | |
| | Patents ADP number (if you know it) | 767540800/ | | |
| 6. | If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number | Country | Priority Application number | Date of filing |
| 7. | If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application | Number of earlier application | | Date of filing (day/month/year) |
| 8. | Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer "Yes" if: a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or c) any named applicant is a corporate body. See note (d)) | YES | | |

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.
Do not count copies of the same document.

Continuation sheets of this form

| | |
|-------------|------|
| Description | 13x2 |
| Claims(s) | 4x2 |
| Abstract | 1x2 |
| Drawings | 6x2 |

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item:

Priority Documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right
to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)

Request for preliminary examination and
search (*Patents Form 9/77*)

Request for substantive examination
(*Patents Form 10/77*)

Any other documents
(*Please specify*)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

C J Moody Date *16/12/99*

12. Name and daytime telephone number of
person to contact in the United Kingdom

01293 81 5278

C J MOODY

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- a) *If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.*
- b) *Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.*
- c) *If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.*
- d) *If you have answered "Yes" Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.*
- e) *Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.*
- f) *For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.*

DESCRIPTION

MULTIBIT SPREAD SPECTRUM SIGNALLING

5 The present invention relates to multibit spread spectrum signalling and more particularly to a method of transmitting a spread spectrum signal, a method of recovering a spread spectrum signal, a spread spectrum communications system and to a spread spectrum receiver.

10 Low cost low power spread spectrum radio systems are being developed for use in the home for interactive control and the passing of data between hardware units. One such system is termed HomeRF Lite and it is proposed to operate this system in the 2.4 GHz ISM band with a 200kbps bit rate spread to 2.2 MHz using an 11 chip pseudo-random sequence. GMSK
15 has been proposed for the modulation scheme as this minimises the out of band side lobes of the transmitted signal.

 The 200 kbps bit rate can be transmitted and received over relatively short ranges but for longer ranges noise affects the quality of the recovered signal.

20

 An object of the present invention is to effect successful transmission of a low power spread spectrum signal over a relatively long range.

 According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of transmitting a spread spectrum signal in which a product of a lower
25 bit rate signal and a second PN-code equals the bit rate of a higher bit rate signal, and in which a first PN-code sequence is used to spread said product or a higher bit rate signal to a predetermined output chip rate.

 According to a second aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of recovering a spread spectrum signal having one of a higher bit
30 rate signal spread by a first PN-code sequence and lower bit rate signal spread by the product of the first PN-code sequence and a second PN-code sequence, a product of the lower bit rate and the chip rate of the second PN-

sequence equalling the higher bit rate, comprising receiving and demodulating a spread spectrum signal, successively correlating in a first operation the demodulated signal with the first PN-code sequence and then in a second operation with the second PN-code sequence and determining if a higher bit rate signal is present by checking for the presence of a strong correlation peak in the output of the first operation and no correlation peak in the output of the second operation and if a lower bit rate signal is present by checking for the presence of at least a weak correlation peak in the output of the first operation and for the presence of a strong correlation peak in the output of the second operation.

According to a third aspect of the present invention there is provided a spread spectrum communications system comprising a transmitting station for transmitting a signal having one of a higher bit rate and a lower bit rate, the transmitting station comprising a source of a lower bit rate signal, means for multiplying the lower bit rate signal by a second PN-code sequence to give a product having a chip rate substantially equal to the bit rate of the higher bit rate signal, a source of the higher bit rate signal, means for multiplying the higher bit rate signal, if present, or said product, if present, by a first PN-code sequence to give a spread spectrum signal having a predetermined output chip rate signal, and at least one receiving station having means for receiving and demodulating the spread spectrum signal, first means for correlating the demodulated signal with the first PN-code sequence, second means for correlating the output from the first mentioned correlating step with the second PN-code sequence and means for determining the presence of a higher bit rate signal by checking for a strong correlation peak in the output of said first means and no correlation peak in the output of said second means and for determining the presence of a lower bit rate signal by checking for at least a weak correlation peak in the output of said first means and a strong correlation peak in the output of said second means.

According to a fourth aspect of the present invention there is provided a spread spectrum receiver for receiving at anyone time a signal having a higher bit rate spread by a first PN-code sequence and a signal having a lower bit

rate which has been spread by the first PN-code sequence and a second PN-code sequence, the product of the lower bit rate and the second PN-Code sequence equalling the higher bit rate, the receiver comprising means for receiving and demodulating the spread spectrum signal, first means for correlating the demodulated signal with the first PN-code sequence, second means for correlating the output from the first mentioned correlating step with the second PN-code sequence and means for determining the presence of a higher bit rate signal by checking for a strong correlation peak in the output of said first means and no correlation peak in the output of said second means and for determining the presence of a lower bit rate signal by checking for at least a weak correlation peak in the output of said first means and a strong correlation peak in the output of said second means.

The provision of a low bit rate (LBR) channel enables a message to be received in the presence of noise that is sufficient to disrupt the high bit rate (HBR) channel.

A benefit of the making the product of the low bit rate and the second PN-code sequence equal to the high bit rate is in the recovering of the respective bit rate signals. Because of this relationship there will always be some degree of correlation required as a result of the first correlating operation using the first PN-code sequence. In the case of the spread spectrum signal being at the higher bit rate then a strong correlation peak will be detected but if it is at the lower bit rate a weak correlation peak will be detected. However in the latter case, a strong correlation peak will be detected as a result of the second correlating operation.

The high data rate channel may be de-spread using a high averaging gain to suppress noise. By this means a significant proportion of the theoretical maximum addition spreading gain can be realised whilst minimising the impact on the hardware and synchronisation time. The first and second correlating operations may be done in respective matched filters and in each of these operations a running average is carried to determine more precisely the location of the correlation peak.

The present invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, wherein;

Figure 1 is a block schematic diagram of a spread spectrum transmission system,

5 Figure 2 is a block schematic diagram of a cascaded code matched filter,

Figure 3 is a block schematic diagram of a matched filter and a circuit for deriving a running average,

10 Figures 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d respectively show RMS outputs for different averaging gains (α) and signal to noise ratios (SNRs),

Figure 5 is a graph relating to HBR signal detection,

Figure 6 is a graph relating to LBR signal detection, and

15 Figure 7 shows graphs of the cascaded matched filter Bit Error Rate (BER) versus Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) comparing the respective performances at high and low bit rates.

In the drawings the same reference numerals have been used to represent corresponding features.

20 Referring to Figure 1, the spread spectrum transmission system comprises a transmitter Tx and a receiver Rx. For convenience of description it will be assumed that the system operates in the 2.4 GHz ISM bandwidth and the encoded data signals are either a high bit rate (HBR) of 200 kbps or a low bit rate (LBR) of 22.22kbps initially spread to 200kcps using a 9 chip PN-code sequence.

25 The transmitter Tx comprises a HBR data source 10 which produces a plurality of symbols in the form of bits at 200kbps. The symbols are supplied by way of a change-over switch SW to a multiplier 12 to which is connected a first code generator 14 which supplies an 11 chip PN-code sequence. The 2.2 Mcps output of the multiplier 12 is supplied to a GFSK modulator 16, the
30 output from which is amplified in a power amplifier 18 and propagated by an antenna 20.

A low bit rate (LBR) data source 26 which produces a plurality of symbols in the form of bits at 22.22 kbps is coupled to a multiplier 28 to which is connected a second code generator 30 which supplies a 9 chip PN-code sequence. The 200 kcps output from the multiplier 28 is supplied by way of the change-over switch SW, when switched over, to the multiplier 12 in which it is multiplied by the 11 chip PN-code sequence supplied by the first code generator 14 to provide a 2.2 Mcps output. Thereafter the output is processed in the same manner as the spread spectrum HBR signal. In either case the signal propagated by the antenna 24 is subject to noise.

At the receiver Rx, the propagated signal is received by an antenna 32 and is passed to an RF front end and demodulator 34. The output is supplied to a 1 bit analogue-to-digital converter 36 which supplies a 2.2 Mcps signal to a first filter function block 38 to be decoded.

In the filter function block 38 the 2.2 Mcps signal is over-sampled by say a factor of 10. The block 38 has an input 100 for a HBR clock signal, viz. 200kHz, and two outputs, namely, output 102 for signal detect, viz. signal is either below a low threshold, between the low and a high thresholds or above the high threshold, and output 104 for bits out, that is, the higher bit rate decoded bit stream;

Another input (or inputs) 106 is (or are) provided in the block 38 for supplying (a) the PN-code sequence, (b) the averaging gain alpha (or α) which can take values in the range $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and (c) the filter RMS signal higher and lower thresholds.

The output 104 of the first filter function block 38 comprises a signal at the higher (not oversampled) bit rate which is coupled to an input of a second filter function block 40. The block 40 has an input 200 for a LBR clock signal, viz 22.22 kHz, and two outputs, namely, output 202 for signal detect, which is "1" if a signal is believed to be present but otherwise it is zero and output 204 for bits out, that is, the decoded LBR stream.

Another input or inputs 206 is (or are) provided in the block 40 for supplying (a) the PN-code sequence, (b) the averaging gain alpha (or α) which can take the values in the range $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and (c) the filter RMS signal

threshold above which a signal is deemed to be present, given that the maximum possible RMS signal value is equal to the code length, that is, 9.

Figure 2 illustrates cascaded first and second matched filters 42, 44 for recovering the HBR data, if present, or the LBR data, if present. In the case of the first matched filter 42, it comprises 110 stage shift register 46 and a 110 stage register 48 for processing the ten times oversampled chip stream. For convenience of representation each of the stages of the shift register 46 and the register 48 is shown as a macro stage formed by 10 sub-stages. The macro stages of the register 48 stores the 11 chip PN-code sequence. The oversampled chip stream is applied to an input 50 of the shift register 46. Corresponding sub-stages of the registers 46, 48 are coupled to respective XOR gates 52 and their outputs are summed in summing stages 54 to provide a HBR output on a terminal 56. The terminal 56 is connected to a HBR decision stage 58. An output of the stage 58 provides HBR bits or effectively chips at the HBR to the second matched filter 44 which comprises 9 stage shift register 60 and a register 62. The register 60 receives the chips at the high bit rate from the decision stage 58 and the register 62 stores the 9 chips PN-code sequence. XOR gates 64 are coupled to outputs of corresponding stages of the shift register 60 and the register 62. The outputs of the XOR gates 64 are summed in summing stages 66 which provide a LBR output on a terminal 68. A LBR decision stage 70 is coupled to the terminal 68. The stage 70 makes a hard decision on the LBR bit value which appears on an output 72.

In operation a chip stream enters on the terminal 50 at the oversampled chip rate of 22 Mhz (assuming 10 times oversampling.) After each sample is clocked into the shift register, the XOR and addition operations are performed against the oversampled code and the oversampled high bitrate (HBR) output is passed to a decision stage 58 which makes, for every high data rate bit period, a soft or hard decision on the value of the corresponding high data rate bit. A soft decision implies that the actual filter correlation value is passed to the second stage of de-spreading, that is, the second matched filter 44, whilst a hard decision implies that the value passed to the second stage is the bit

value ± 1 . The effect of a soft or hard decision on the bit error rate (BER) will be discussed later.

The decision stage 58 having made the decision at the high bit rate, its output is passed to the second stage of despreading in which the high bit rate bits enter a second shift register 60, clocked at the high bit rate (no oversampling), the XOR and addition operations are carried out with the second PN-code sequence stored in the register 62. The final low bit rate output on terminal 68 is then fed into the LBR decision stage 70 to make a hard decision on the LBR bit value.

A synchronisation technique to be described with reference to Figures 3 and 4 is used at the high bit rate with a high averaging gain, close to 1, so that the high bit rate bits can emerge from any noise with sufficient accuracy to make realistic progress to the next stage of de-spreading, that is, the second matched filter 44. The synchronisation technique described with reference to Figures 3 and 4 can also be used to make a hard decision on the LBR bit values.

The synchronisation technique referred to above will now be described with reference to Figure 3. For convenience the technique will be described using the first filter function block 38 as an example but it is to be understood that the second filter function block 40 operates in the same manner using the second matched filter 44. The filter function block 38 includes the first matched filter 42 and a running average circuit 74. As the first matched filter 42 is the same as that described with reference to Figure 2, in the interests of brevity it will not be described again.

Because of the autocorrelation properties of the first chip PN-code sequence, the filter output on the terminal 56 will peak within a bit period, in the absence of noise, at the instant when the local PN-code sequence stored in the shift register 48 is synchronised with the received code sequence and the sign of the peak will correspond to the sign of the transmitted bit. However in the presence of noise, spurious peaks in the filter output can result in erroneous bit determinations as the amplitude of the true, synchronised, peak

can be reduced whilst the filter output signal can peak at other times within a bit period.

The running average circuit 74 enables synchronisation to be achieved by computing the running average of a RMS filter to allow the true signal to
5 emerge from the noise. The computation is summarised by the following equation:

$$\hat{x}_i^n = \alpha * \hat{x}_i^{n-1} + (1 - \alpha) * x_i^n$$

where x_i^n is the absolute value of the i th matched filter output sample in the n th data bit period,

10 \hat{x}_i^{n-1} is the corresponding i th sample running average at the end of the $n-1$ th data bit period, and

α is the averaging gain and has a value $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Typically $\alpha = 0.5$ for a simple average and a higher value closer to 1 for the higher bit rate of a dual rate system.

15 An implementation of the circuit 74 comprises a multistage store 76 for storing at each location or register the current running average of each sample of the oversampled chips. Each register of the store 76 is coupled to a demultiplexing arrangement 78 so that each sample stored can be read-out in succession and applied by way of a delay element 90 to a calculating network.
20 The calculating network, which processes the previous sample which has been delayed by the delay element 90, includes a first multiplier 80 in which the sample \hat{x}_i^{n-1} is multiplied by α which is held in a store 82 to produce $\alpha * \hat{x}_i^{n-1}$.

The corresponding output y_i on the terminal 56 is applied to an absolute value stage 57 which provides a corresponding output x_i^n , where $x_i^n \equiv \text{abs } y_i^n$,
25 which is applied to a second multiplier 84 and is multiplied by $(1 - \alpha)$ held in a store 86 to produce $(1 - \alpha) x_i^n$. The outputs produced by the first and second multipliers 80, 84 are combined in a summation stage 88 to produce the new running average \hat{x}_i^n which is applied to a multiplexer 92 which is connected to

the register of the store 76 immediately prior to the register from which the previous corresponding running average value was read out and the new value is stored.

During successive bit periods, a process is implemented to find the maximum value of \hat{x}_i^n . At the beginning of a bit period the value stored in the first location is treated as a maximum and the next following value is compared in a comparison stage 94 with the first one and, if it is larger, it becomes the new maximum and simultaneously the corresponding matched filter output sample value is stored. This process is continued and by the end of the bit period it is known which sample of the matched filter output 56 corresponds to the maximum $y_{i\max}^n$ and its sign (positive or negative) is used to make a hard decision on the bit value. If it is desired to make a soft decision, the value $y_{i\max}^n$ at the end of the bit period is used. Whilst it is necessary to store all the values of \hat{x}_i^n for the next data bit, it is not necessary to store all the samples x_i^n . All that it is required to store during a given bit period are the current maximum of \hat{x}_i^n and the corresponding value of the filter output $y_{i\max}^n$. The decision made on $y_{i\max}^n$ within the comparison stage 94, is the output.

In order to determine if a signal exists in a channel, the magnitude of the correlation peaks in the HBR and LBR bit value decision blocks 58, 70, respectively, are examined and a threshold Th2 above which a signal is deemed to be present is set. The following description with respect to Figures 4a to 4d disclose how this can be achieved when different averaging factors are used in the two stages of despreading. In Figures 4a to 4d the abscissa represents sample numbers and the ordinates respectively show Root Mean Square (RMS) correlation. The respective traces show the effect, on the stored RMS correlation, of varying both the averaging gain α and the signal to noise ratio (SNR), in the case of Figure 4a $\alpha = 0.5$ and the SNR = 3dB, Figure 4b $\alpha = 0.9375$ and the SNR = 3dB, Figure 4c $\alpha = 0.9375$ and SNR = 0dB and in Figure 4d $\alpha = 0.9375$ and SNR = -3dB. An examination of these Figures shows that for

a higher value of the averaging gain α , a cleaner correlation results. Also the Figures show that as the SNR decreases then the correlation peaks submerge into a noise floor with a mean correlation value of approximately 15.

Setting the threshold Th2 for the detection of a signal is therefore a matter
5 of deciding what bit error rate can be tolerated, and therefore the corresponding limiting SNR value and the averaging gain α to obtain acceptably clean RMS correlation peaks with a value above the threshold.

The value set for the signal detection threshold will affect the number of bits that need to be received before it is recognised that a signal is present.
10 Figure 5 shows how the high bit rate RMS correlation signal at 3dB SNR with $\alpha = 0.9375$ evolves, from an initial state of stored zeros, as data starts to arrive. For rapid signal detection a threshold Th1 is set that is safely above the noise floor but not too far above e.g. ~ 20 in Figure 5 yielding 6 received bits necessary for signal detection. To be assured of a low BER, the threshold Th2 is set just below
15 the minimum steady state peak height at the limiting SNR assumed in this example to be at 3dB. Then, as shown in Figure 5, the threshold Th2 of the order of 50 would be crossed in the downward direction if the SNR deteriorated below 3dB. Referring to Figure 7, which will be described in greater detail later, a BER of better than approximately $1.0e-3$ (or 10^{-3}) can be expected when the
20 correlation peaks exceed the threshold Th2, as shown in the right hand portion of Figure 5.

The two thresholds Th1, Th2 enable the receiver to indicate whether the signal strength is sufficient for high or low data rate reception. By using a memory to store the received high data rate bits in the interval between the
25 passing of these two thresholds then fast synchronisation onto a high bit rate signal can be achieved without loss of high bit rate data.

The high bit rate signal would be further indicated by a continuing absence of a signal detect at the low data rate. Figure 6 show the RMS correlation, equivalent to Figure 5, for the low bit rate signal with an averaging
30 gain of $\alpha = 0.5$. From this figure a signal threshold Th3 value of 5 would result in signal detection after two low data rate bits at a BER of $\sim 1.0e-3$ given the - 1dB

SNR (see Figure 7 curve "F"). The state of the signal detect flags would enable the receiver to determine for itself the bit rate of any received signal.

Table 1 indicates the state of the signal detect flags under the possible signal reception conditions whilst Table 2 summarises the matched filter parameter values recommended to achieve 10^{-3} BER quality at the two bit rates.

| Signal State | High Bit Rate Low Threshold Th1 | High Bit Rate High Threshold Th2 | Low Bit Rate Threshold Th3 |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| No Signal | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| High Bit Rate Signal | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Good BER | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Poor BER | | | |
| Low Bit Rate Signal | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Table 1

| Filter Parameter | High Bit Rate | Low Bit Rate |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| Averaging gain α | 0.9375 | 0.5 |
| Low Signal Detect Threshold | 20 | 5 |
| High Signal Detect Threshold | 50 | 5 |
| SNR Limit dB (BER $\approx 10^{-3}$) | 3 | -1 |

Table 2

Other conclusions which can be made from monitoring the above-mentioned thresholds Th1, Th2 and Th3 are (a) if there are strong correlation peaks for both high and low bit rates, the signal is LBR but may be HBR, (b) if there is a weak correlation peak for high bit rate and no correlation peak for the low bit rate, the signal could be a poor quality HBR, (c) if two thresholds were implemented at the LBR and if there are weak correlation peaks for both high and low bit rates, the signal is likely to be a poor quality LBR, and (d) if there is no high bit rate correlation peak and a strong-weak low bit rate correlation peak,

the conclusion is that it would be an impossible condition. In the case of conclusions (a), (b) and (c) an output decision could be generated but it being flagged to indicate a low confidence decision.

Figure 7 shows the BER curves obtained via simulation as a function of signal to noise ratio (SNR), approximately 10,000 bits were simulated at the high and low bit rates, respectively. Curve A represents the ideal performance of a perfectly synchronised matched filter operating at the high data rate. Curve B represents the performance of a synchronised matched filter with an averaging gain α of 0.5 operating at the high data rate. Curve C represents the performance of a conventional matched filter operating at the high data rate without any synchronisation. Curve D represents the ideal performance of cascaded pseudo-random code matched filter system with an averaging gain of 0.5 in which the first stage filter is of length 990 and no decision is made on the high data rate bits. This implies that the second shift register is clocked at the oversampled chip rate (22 MHz). This system is roughly equivalent to a length 99 pseudo-random sequence 10 times oversampled de-spreading process. Curve E represents the performance of a cascaded code filter with a 0.9375 averaging gain at the high data rate and a 0.5 averaging gain at the low data rate together with a soft decision on the high data rate bit. Lastly, curve F represents the performance of an identical cascaded code filter as in the LBR soft decision case except that a hard decision is made on the high data rate bit.

From these curves it can be seen that only a small - 0.5dB penalty is paid for the much simpler hardware implementation of a hard decision between stages and that a 4.5dB advantage can be gained when employing the low data rate. Whilst this improvement is only half of the possible 9dB expected of an ideal 9 chip code it should be realised that at such short code lengths the perfect orthogonality assumption for the pseudo-random sequences is not well satisfied. Further as the synchronisation process would be costly to implement on a 990 length shift register in reality only 6dB is available assuming perfect orthogonality as is evident from a comparison of the HBR conv and HBR sync curves.

A higher averaging gain in both stages of de-spreading would result in small improvements in performance but the quoted figures represent a good

compromise between performance, synchronisation speed and hardware complexity.

With regard to synchronisation speed it should be noted that the filter performance before the averaging has taken effect is always equal to or better than the equivalent conventional unsynchronised filter. If the noise is low then correct databits will emerge as soon as a signal is received, it merely takes time for the RMS filter peak to pass the threshold that indicates the unambiguous detection of a signal.

In the present specification and claims the word "a" or "an" preceding an element does not exclude the presence of a plurality of such elements. Further, the word "comprising" does not exclude the presence of other elements or steps than those listed.

From reading the present disclosure, other modifications will be apparent to persons skilled in the art. Such modifications may involve other features which are already known in the design, manufacture and use of spread spectrum systems and component parts therefor and which may be used instead of or in addition to features already described herein. Although claims have been formulated in this application to particular combinations of features, it should be understood that the scope of the disclosure of the present application also includes any novel feature or any novel combination of features disclosed herein either explicitly or implicitly or any generalisation thereof, whether or not it relates to the same invention as presently claimed in any claim and whether or not it mitigates any or all of the same technical problems as does the present invention. The applicants hereby give notice that new claims may be formulated to such features and/or combinations of such features during the prosecution of the present application or of any further application derived therefrom.

CLAIMS

1. A method of transmitting a spread spectrum signal in which a product of a lower bit rate signal and a second PN-code equals the bit rate of a higher bit rate signal, and in which a first PN-code sequence is used to spread
5 said product or a higher bit rate signal to a predetermined output chip rate.

2. A method of recovering a spread spectrum signal having one of a higher bit rate signal spread by a first PN-code sequence and lower bit rate
10 signal spread by the product of the first PN-code sequence and a second PN-code sequence, a product of the lower bit rate and the chip rate of the second PN-sequence equalling the higher bit rate, comprising receiving and demodulating a spread spectrum signal, successively correlating in a first operation the demodulated signal with the first PN-code sequence and then in
15 a second operation with the second PN-code sequence and determining if a higher bit rate signal is present by checking for the presence of a strong correlation peak in the output of the first operation and no correlation peak in the output of the second operation and if a lower bit rate signal is present by checking for the presence of at least a weak correlation peak in the output of
20 the first operation and for the presence of a strong correlation peak in the output of the second operation.

3. A method as claimed in claim 2, characterised in that the first and second operations are carried in respective matched filters.
25

4. A method as claimed in claim 3, characterised in that a moving average of the respective matched filters is obtained in order to synchronised detection of peaks in the output of the respective filter.

5. A method as claimed in claim 4, characterised in that the running
30 average is determined in accordance with the equation:

$$\hat{x}_i'' = \alpha * \hat{x}_i''^{n-1} + (1 - \alpha) * \hat{x}_i''$$

where \hat{x}_i'' is the absolute value of the i th matched filter output sample in the n th databit period,

$\hat{x}_i''^{n-1}$ is the corresponding i th sample running average at the end of the
5 $n-1$ th databit period, and

α is the averaging gain and has a value between $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

6. A method as claimed in claim 5, characterised in that α has a value > 0.5 .

10

7. A spread spectrum communications system comprising a transmitting station for transmitting a signal having one of a higher bit rate and a lower bit rate, the transmitting station comprising a source of a lower bit rate signal, means for multiplying the lower bit rate signal by a second PN-code sequence to give a product having a chip rate substantially equal to the bit rate
15 of the higher bit rate signal, a source of the higher bit rate signal, means for multiplying the higher bit rate signal, if present, or said product, if present, by a first PN-code sequence to give a spread spectrum signal having a predetermined output chip rate signal, and at least one receiving station
20 having means for receiving and demodulating the spread spectrum signal, first means for correlating the demodulated signal with the first PN-code sequence, second means for correlating the output from the first mentioned correlating step with the second PN-code sequence and means for determining the presence of a higher bit rate signal by checking for a strong correlation peak in the output of said first means and no correlation peak in the output of said
25 second means and for determining the presence of a lower bit rate signal by checking for at least a weak correlation peak in the output of said first means and a strong correlation peak in the output of said second means.

30

8. A spread spectrum receiver for receiving at anyone time a signal having a higher bit rate spread by a first PN-code sequence and a signal

having a lower bit rate which has been spread by the first PN-code sequence and a second PN-code sequence, the product of the lower bit rate and the second PN-Code sequence equalling the higher bit rate, the receiver comprising means for receiving and demodulating the spread spectrum signal, first means for correlating the demodulated signal with the first PN-code sequence, second means for correlating the output from the first mentioned correlating step with the second PN-code sequence and means for determining the presence of a higher bit rate signal by checking for a strong correlation peak in the output of said first means and no correlation peak in the output of said second means and for determining the presence of a lower bit rate signal by checking for at least a weak correlation peak in the output of said first means and a strong correlation peak in the output of said second means.

9. A receiver as claimed in claim 8, characterised in that wherein said first and second means for correlating comprise respective matched filters.

10. A receiver as claimed in claim 9, characterised in that each of said matched filters is coupled to means for obtaining a running average and in that means are provided for determining synchronising peaks in the respective running averages.

11. A method of transmitting a spread spectrum signal, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

12. A method of recovering a spread spectrum signal, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

13. A spread spectrum communications system constructed and arranged to operate substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to and as shown in the accompanying drawings.

- 5 14. A spread spectrum receiver constructed and arranged to operate substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to and as shown in the accompanying drawings.

ABSTRACT

MULTIBIT SPREAD SPECTRUM SYSTEM

5 A method of transmitting and receiving a spread spectrum signal, wherein a product of a lower bit rate signal and a second PN-code equals the bit rate of of a higher bit rate signal, and wherein a first PN-code sequence is used to spread said product or a higher bit rate signal to a predetermined output chip rate signal which is transmitted. At a receiver the spread spectrum
10 signal is received and demodulated and in a first operation the demodulated signal is correlated with the first PN-code sequence and in a second operation the output from the first mentioned correlating step is correlated with the second PN-code sequence. If a strong correlation peak is detected in the output of the first operation and no correlation peak is detected in the output of
15 the second operation it is treated as a higher bit rate signal but if at least a weak correlation peak is detected in the output of the first operation and a strong correlation peak is detected in the output of the second operation it is treated as a lower bit rate signal.

20 (Figure 2)

1/6






Fig. 1

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

2/6








Fig. 2.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

3/6



THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



RMS Correlation

4/6

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

5/6




Fig. 5




Fig. 6

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

6/6




Fig. 7

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)